

Panaji, 12th May, 2011 (Vaisakha 22, 1933)

SERIES II No. 6

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/4059

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Velip Kankar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv. Swati Kalidas Karekar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji, Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 01-04-2011 to 31-03-2012.

P. K. Velip Kankar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 3rd May, 2011.

To,
Adv. Swati Kalidas Karekar,
Flat No. F3, Bldg. A, Shivram Appartments,
Shantinagar, Ponda, Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of judgment in accordance with the judgement given by Hon'ble

High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/4058

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Velip Kankar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv. Uday Chodnekar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji, Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 01-04-2011 to 31-03-2012.

P. K. Velip Kankar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 3rd May, 2011.

To,
Adv. Uday Chodnekar,
Apana Bazar, 2nd Floor,
Building D, S-9, Margao-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of judgment in accordance with the judgement given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative
Societies

—
Order

No. 10-3-93/ADM/139

- Read: 1) Audit Report for the year 2007-08 and 2008-09.
2) Letter dated 21-2-2011 received from Smt. Sandhya Naik, Jr. Auditor.
3) Show Cause Notice No. 10-3-93/ADM/1487 dated 16-3-2011.

Whereas, Gramvikas Dudh Utpadak Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Dhargal, Pedne-Goa has been registered vide code No. PRD-(C)-41/AR(Dairy)-Goa on 12-10-1987 and under sub-classification Agricultural Producers' Society in terms of Rule 9 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962. The main objects of the society are clearly laid down in its bye-laws No. 1.2 i.e. to encourage the farmers to undertake milk business and also to assist and guide them for encouraging the milk production, to give reasonable rate to the milk, to undertake cattle-feed, Green Fodder activities and to make available Green Fodder to the farmers at reasonable rate etc.

And Whereas, it is observed that the society has not convened Annual General Body Meeting of the society for last two years in terms of Section 72 of Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 which shows that the General members and also Board of Directors of the said society are not interested in managing the affairs of the society. It is mandatory on the part of the management to convene the Annual General Meeting within 9 months from the close of the year. In instant case the management has failed to convene the said meeting which attracts deeming disqualification for the period of 5 years for being chosen as Directors and shall be in-eligible to continue as Directors of the Society in terms of Section 61 of the Act.

And Whereas, it is also observed that the society has not held Board of Directors meeting for last two years which gives clear indication that the Board of Directors are not interested to manage the affairs of the society in line with provision of the Act, Rules & Bye-Laws. The powers of the Board of Directors of the society has been clearly mentioned in Section 62 of The Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001. It is obligatory on the part of the management to perform their duties accordingly. However the Board of Directors of the society do not give vital significance to perform their duties as a result the financial status of the society has been affected to a large extent.

And Whereas, it is observed that the society has not adopted the Model Bye-Laws or required under the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2003 till this date. The instruction to this effect has been already communicated to the society by this office inspite of this the adoption of Bye-Laws has been delayed till this date without substantiating any reason thereof which shows negligence on the part of Management of the society.

And Whereas, it is also observed that the filing fees as required in terms of Section 81 of Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 has not been credited to the Government for last two years and has violated the said provision of the Act.

And Whereas, it is reported by Smt. Sandhya Naik, Jr. Auditor that the records particularly cash book of the said society has not been written by the society as it was found incomplete on the date of her visit to the said society for audit on 9-2-2011. The records were not produced to the auditor for verification. The prevailing circumstance in the said society in respect of maintenance of records appears to be far from satisfactory.

And Whereas, it is reported by the auditor that the affairs of the society has come to stand still and non of the members are interested in running the affairs of the society for the betterment of the members as well as for securing its objectives.

Considering the above circumstances a show cause notice referred hereinabove at Sr. No. 3 was issued to the Board of Directors of the said society to remain present in this office on 11-4-2011 at 11.00 a.m. to submit their say and also to defend in the matter. However, non of the Board of Directors have remained present nor submitted their say in the matter.

In view of the facts highlighted hereinabove I am of the considered opinion that the functioning of the said society is not carried out as required under provision of Act, Rules and Bye-Laws of the society. Neither the Board of Directors nor the members of the society are interested to keep the smooth functioning of the society for the benefit of the members. If the same condition is allowed to continue then there is a possibility of deteriorating the financial position of the society to a greater extent. The very purpose of achieving the objectives as laid down in its bye-laws could have been defeated.

In view of the above, I pass the following order.

ORDER

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 92 of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001 read with Rule 127 of Goa Co-op. Societies Rules, 2003, I, V. B. Devidas, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Dairy), Ponda hereby direct and order that the affairs of Gramvikas Dudh Utpadak Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Dhargal, Pedne-Goa be wound up.

Further, in exercise of the powers vested to me under Section 93(1) of the aforesaid Act and read with Rule 129 of the above Rules, hereby appoint Shri S. K. Gauns, Jr. Auditor Co-op. Societies, (Dairy), Ponda-Goa as Liquidator of the said society.

V. B. Devidas, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies. (Dairy).

Ponda, 6th May, 2011.

Order

No. 10-5-93/ADM/138

Read: 1) Audit Report for the year 2007-08 and 2008-09.

2) Show Cause Notice No. 10-5-93/ADM/1513 dated 22-3-2011.

Whereas, Kamdhenu Mahila Dudh Utpadak Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Shirgal, Pedne-Goa has been registered vide code No. PRD-(C)-54/AR(Dairy)-Goa on 31-7-1989 and under sub-classification Agricultural Producers' Society in terms of Rule 9 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962. The main objects of the society are clearly laid down in its bye-laws No. 1.2 i.e. to encourage the farmers to undertake milk business and also to assist and guide them for encouraging the milk production, to give reasonable rate to the milk, to undertake cattle-feed, Green Fodder activities and to make available Green Fodder to the farmers at reasonable rate etc.

And Whereas, it is observed that the society has not convened Annual General Body Meeting of the society for last one year in terms of Section 72 of Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 which shows that the General members and also Board of Directors of the said society are not interested in managing the affairs of the society. It is mandatory on the part of the management to convene the Annual General Meeting within 9 months from the date of closer of the year. In instant case the management has failed to convene the said meeting which attracts deeming disqualification for the period of 5 years for being chosen Directors and

shall be in-eligible to continue as the Directors of the Society in terms of Section 61 of the Act.

And Whereas, it is also observed that the society has not held Board of Directors Meeting for last two years which gives clear indication that the Board of Directors are not interested to manage the affairs of the society in line with provision of the Act, Rules & Bye-Laws. The powers of the Board of Directors of the society has been clearly mentioned in Section 62 of The Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001. It is obligatory on the part of the management to perform their duties accordingly. However the Board of Directors of the society do not give vital significance to perform their duties as a result the financial status of the society has been affected to a large extent.

And Whereas, it is observed that the society has not adopted the Model Bye-Laws or required under the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2003 till this date. The instruction to this effect has been already communicated to the society by this office inspite of this the adoption of bye-laws has been delayed till this date without substantiating any reason thereof which shows negligence on the part of Management of the society.

And Whereas, it is informed by Shri S. V. Chimulkar, Jr. Auditor that the records particularly cash book of the said society has not been written by the society as it was found incomplete on the date of his visit to the said society for audit on 4-3-2011. The records were not produced to the auditor for verification. The prevailing circumstance in the said society in respect of maintenance of records appears to be far from satisfactory.

And Whereas, it is reported by the auditor that the affairs of the society has come to stand still and non of the members are interested in running the affairs of the society for the betterment of the members as well as for securing its objectives.

Considering the above circumstances a show cause notice referred hereinabove at Sr. No. 2 was issued to the Board of Directors of the said society to remain present in this office on 18-4-2011 at 11.00 a.m. to submit their say and also to defend in the matter. However, non of the Board of Directors have remained present nor submitted their say in the matter.

In view of the facts highlighted hereinabove I am of the considered opinion that the functioning of the said society is not carried out as required under provision of Act, Rules and bye-laws of the

society. Neither the Board of Director's nor the members of the society are interested to keep the smooth functioning of the society for the benefit of the members. If the same condition is allowed to continue then there is a possibility of deteriorating the financial position of the society to a greater extent. The very purpose of achieving the objectives as laid down in its bye-laws would have been defeated.

In view of the above, I pass the following order.

ORDER

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 92 of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001 read with Rule 127 of Goa Co-op. Societies Rules, 2003, I, V. B. Devidas, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Dairy), Ponda hereby direct and order that the affairs of Kamdhenu Mahila Dudh Utpadak Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Shirgal, Dhargal, Pedne-Goa be wound up.

Further, in exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 93(1) of the aforesaid Act and read with Rule 129 of the above Rules, hereby appoint Shri S. K. Gauns, Jr. Auditor, Co-op. Societies (Dairy), Ponda-Goa as liquidator of the said society.

V. B. Devidas, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies. (Dairy).

Ponda, 6th May, 2011.

Notification

No. 5-1298-2011-ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Palm Crest Holiday Homes Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society Limited," 4th Ward, Colva, Salcete-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(d)-793/South Goa/2011.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 18th April, 2011.

Certificate of Registration

"The Palm Crest Holiday Homes Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society Limited," 4th Ward, Colva, Salcete-Goa has been registered on 18-4-2011 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(d)-793/South Goa/2011 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(d)-Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society

in terms of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 18th April, 2011.

Department of Finance Directorate of Accounts

Order

No. DA/Admn/45-3/11-12/TR-351/12

Read: Government Order No. DA/Admn/45-3/10-11/Tr-5211/122 dated 15-03-2011.

The promotion of Shri Ramchandra Morajkar, Accountant (Sl. No. 10) to the post of Assistant Accounts Officer and his posting in the Directorate of Technical Education, Porvorim is kept in abeyance from the date of issue of above said Order.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

P. S. Gude, Director of Accounts & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 5th May, 2011.

Order

No. DA/Admn/45-6/2011-12/TR-301/05

The Government is pleased to order the transfer and posting of the following Assistant Accounts Officer under Common Accounts Cadre as shown below with immediate effect on administrative grounds:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Present place of posting	Transferred and posted at
1	2	3	4
1.	Shri Surya G. Chodankar, Assistant Accounts Officer	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji, Goa	O/o Medical Supdt.-cum-Dy. Director, Asilo Hospital, Mapusa, Goa.

Wherever the transferees do not change their place of residence from old station to new, they will not be entitled for availing of joining time nor transfer TA as provided under CCS Rule.

On joining new assignments, the Officers shall send CTC/Joining Report to this Directorate immediately for records.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

P. S. Gude, Director of Accounts & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 29th April, 2011.

Order

No. DA/Admn/45-5/2011-12/TR-344/10

The Government is pleased to order the transfer and posting of the following Accounts Officers/Dy. Director of Accounts under Common Accounts Cadre as shown below with immediate effect on administrative ground:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Present place of posting	Transferred and posted at
1	2	3	4
1.	Shri Jesus de Menezes Rodrigues, Dy. Director of Accounts/ /Accounts Officer	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji	Goa Forest Development Corporation Ltd., Panaji, Goa.
2.	Shri Narayan Gaonkar, Dy. Director of Accounts/ /Accounts Officer	Goa Forest Development Corporation Ltd., Panaji, Goa	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji.

The deputation period of Shri Narayan Gaonkar, Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officer stands curtailed till the date he is relieved from the Goa Forest Development Corporation, Panaji.

The Government is pleased to relax the requirements of clause 9.5 of the deputation guidelines issued by the Personnel Department in respect of Shri Jesus de Menezes Rodrigues, Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officer.

Deployment of Shri Jesus de Menezes Rodrigues, Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officer, will be on deputation basis initially for a period of one year in the first instance and shall be regulated as per the standard terms of deputation contained in the Office Memorandum No. 13/4/74-PER dated 12-02-1999 and Even No. dated 11-01-2007 of Department of Personnel, Secretariat, Porvorim, Goa as amended from time to time.

The O/o the Goa Forest Development Corporation, Panaji, shall be liable to pay to the Government leave salary and pension contribution in respect of Officers at the prescribed rates.

The Officers at Sr. No. 1 shall move first and relieve the other Officers immediately to give effect to the transfer smoothly.

On joining their new assignment, the Officers shall send CTC/Joining Report to this Directorate for record.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

P. S. Gude, Director of Accounts & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 4th May, 2011.

**Department of Fisheries**

Directorate of Fisheries

Corrigendum

No. 84/95-FSH-II (Part-I)

Read: Notification No. 84/95-FSH-II(Part-I) dated 20-11-2006.

In the Notification cited above the name reflected at Sr. No. d) Project Scientist, Department of Science, Technology and Environment, Saligao-Goa may be corrected to read as "Director, Department of Science, Technology and Environment, Saligao-Goa".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Santosh C. Verenkar, Joint Secretary ex officio (Fisheries).

Panaji, 25th April, 2011.

**Department of General Administration****Order**

No. 35/4/2005/GAD-III/2559

The Governor of Goa is pleased to designate the following officers as Public Information Officer, Assistant Public Information Officer and First Appellant Authority in respect of the Office of Advocate General of Goa, High Court Building, Panaji-Goa, as required under Section 5 and sub-section (1) of Section 19 respectively of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Designation of the Officer to be appointed as PIO	Designation of the Officer to be appointed as APIO	Designation of the Officer to be appointed as FAA	Department/Office for which appointed
1	2	3	4
Under Secretary (Establishment), Law Department, Secretariat	Section Officer, Law Department (Establishment), Secretariat	Joint Secretary (GA), Secretariat, as First Appellant Authority	In the office of Advocate General of Goa, High Court Building, Panaji-Goa.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Prabhakar V. Vaingankar, Under Secretary (GA).
Porvorim, 29th April, 2011.



Department of Home
Home—General Division

Notification

No. 6/2/2006-HD(G)/Part

The Government of Goa is pleased to reconstitute the Goa State Haj Committee comprising of the following members with immediate effect till further orders.

1. Shri Mohammad Iqbal, Margao ... Chairman.
2. Shri Shaik Shabbir, ... Member.
Uppar Bazar, Ponda
3. Shri Abdul Rehman Khan, ... Member.
Margao
4. Shri Akbar Mulla, Shiroda ... Member.
5. Shri Iqbal Mohiddin, Vasco ... Member.
6. Shri Mohammad Nisar ... Member.
Subedar Chandrawada,
Fatorda, Margao
7. Shri Nabid Tasildar, Nagzari, ... Member.
Curti, Ponda
8. Shri Shaikh Abdul Kadar, ... Member.
Durgabhat, Ponda
9. Shri Mohidin Kazi, Opp. Hotel ... Member.
Pearl, Sadar, Ponda-Goa
10. Shri Abdul Azees Tayab, ... Member.
Mapusa
11. Shri Abdul Shukoor, Margao ... Member.
12. Shri Shaikh Mustak, Margao ... Member.

13. Shri Altaf Bawa, Margao ... Member.
14. Shri Suleman Carol, Panaji ... Member.
15. Gulzar Bi Khan, ... Member.
Chairperson, Valpoi
16. Shri Saifull Khan, ... Member.
Councillor, Mormugao
17. Zarina Bi, Councillor, ... Member.
Sanguem
18. Under Secretary (Home) ... Welfare
Officer
Secretary.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. P. Dangui, Under Secretary (Home-II).
Porvorim, 2nd May, 2011.

Notification

No. 19/10/2010-HD(G)

In pursuance of the provisions of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946), the Government of Goa is pleased to accord consent to the extension of powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Goa for the investigation of case registered against David Driham (Dudu) at ANC, Panaji u/s 20 (b) (ii) (C), 21 (b) and 22 (C) of NDPS Act, 1985 in FIR No. 05/2010 dated 22-02-2010 registered at Anti-Narcotic Police Station, Panaji, Goa and subsequent events in connection with this matter and any other offence/offences committed in the course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. P. Dangui, Under Secretary (Home).
Porvorim, 3rd May, 2011.



Department of Industries

Notification

No. 3/60/2010-IND

Read: Notification No. 3/60/2010-IND dated 15-03-2011.

In pursuance of the Notification cited above and in view of the para 6 of the said Notification,

Government is pleased to constitute an Appraisal Committee as follows:

- | | |
|---|-----------------------|
| 1. Chairman GHRSSIDC Ltd. | ... Chairman. |
| 2. One Director nominated by the Board of GHRSSIDCL | ... Member. |
| 3. Assistant Director (Administration), Directorate of Industries, Trade & Commerce | ... Member. |
| 4. Lead District Manager, North | ... Member. |
| 5. Lead District Manager, South | ... Member. |
| 6. Representative from EDC (when EDC is the sanctionee) | ... Member. |
| 7. Representative of Goa State Industries Association (GSIA) | ... Member. |
| 8. Representative of Goa Chamber of Commerce & Industry (GCCCI) | ... Member. |
| 9. Managing Director (GHRSSIDCL) | ... Member Secretary. |

The said Committee shall scrutinize the applications for approval and decide upon the sanction of the appropriate amount to the beneficiaries under Seed Capital Loan Scheme for Self Help Groups, 2011.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Prabhakar V. Vaingankar, Under Secretary (Industries).

Porvorim, 3rd May, 2011.



Department of Labour

Notification

No. 28/1/2011-LAB

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, at Panaji-Goa on 29-03-2011 in reference No. IT/24/04 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Prabhakar V. Vaingankar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 2nd May, 2011.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Anuja Prabhudessai, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/24/04

Shri Santosh T. Naik,
H. No. 45, Baman Bhakti,
Arambol, Pernem, Goa.

... Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Goa Shipyard Ltd.,
Vaddem,
Vasco da Gama, Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I represented by Adv. Shri A. V. Nigalye.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat.

AWARD

(Passed on this 29th day of March, 2011)

1. By order dated 22-7-2004, the Government of Goa in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for its adjudication.

"(1) Whether the action of management of M/s. Goa Shipyard Limited, Vaddem, Vasco da Gama, Goa in dismissing Shri Santosh T. Naik, Structural Fitter, from service with effect from 13-8-2001, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief the Workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference IT/24/2004 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties. The Party I has filed claim statement at Exb. 4 and Party II has filed written statement at Exb. 5. The rejoinder of the Party I is at Exb. 6.

3. The Party I was employed with the Party II as a Structural Fitter since 12-02-1985. The Party I did not report for duties from 30-10-1999. the Party I had sent a medical certificate stating that he was suffering from Hepatitis and was advised rest for a period of 21 days. The Party I did not report for duty after expiry of 21 days and as such by notice dated 1-12-1999, the Party II called upon the Party I to report to the Company's Medical Officer for medical examination within 7 days of the receipt of the letter. The said letter/notice was returned unserved with a postal endorsement 'Unclaimed'.

4. The Party II issued a charge-sheet dated 28-12-1999 to the Party I for habitual absence which constituted misconduct under clause 29(VI) of the Certified Standing Orders of the Company. The said charge-sheet as well as the notice of the inquiry dated 12-01-2000 which were sent by Regd. A/D post was returned unserved as 'unclaimed'. A second notice of inquiry dated 7-02-2000 was also returned unserved with postal endorsement 'Out of Station'. Hence, notice was published in the local daily 'Navind Times'. Shri D. V. Raju was appointed as an Inquiry Officer and Shri S. S. Sail was appointed as Presenting Officer.

5. The inquiry commenced on 21-02-2000. The Party I did not attend the inquiry. The statement of the Management Representative was recorded in the absence of Party I. By letter dated 28-02-2000, the Party I requested the Inquiry Officer to open the inquiry proceedings on the ground that he was not aware of the date of the inquiry fixed on 21-02-2000. The said application was allowed and the Inquiry Officer opened the inquiry and called upon the Management to adduce evidence. The Party I was also given opportunity to establish his defence. The Inquiry Officer submitted his findings on 14-11-2000 holding the Party I guilty of misconduct under clause No. 29(VI) of the Certified Standing Orders.

6. During the pendency of the first inquiry, the Party I was served with another charge-sheet dated 1-06-2000 alleging that on 8-05-2000 without punching the attendance card, he reported to the supervisor in plain clothes for allocation of duties and registering his attendance. It was further alleged that the Party I had left the workplace without permission and was found chitchatting with his friends. The Officer-in-charge had told the Party I to go to his allotted place. The Party I did not heed to the advice of the supervisor and indulged in the acts of insubordination. The Party I was charged for committing misconduct under Clause 29 (I) (XI), (XII), (XIII), (XXVIII), XXXVII) and Clause 31 (b) and 31 (e) of Certified Standing Orders.

7. The Party II appointed Shri Sail as an Inquiry Officer and Shri Rajesh Babu S. was appointed as the Management Representative (MR). The Party I participated in the said inquiry. The Management as well as the Workman/Party I had adduced evidence in support of their respective case/defence. The Inquiry Officer submitted his findings on 1-03-2001 holding the Party I guilty of the charges of misconduct under Clause No. 29(I), (XI), (XII), (XIII), (XXVIII), (XXXVII) and Clause

No. 31(e) of Certified Standing Orders of the Company.

8. The Party I raised the dispute wherein he has challenged the fairness of these inquiries on several grounds. The Party I has stated that the Inquiry Officers appointed in both these inquiries were biased against him. The Party I has stated that the Inquiry Officer, Shri D. V. Raju had allowed the Presenting Officer to interfere with the recording of evidence during the cross-examination of the Management witness and that he had advised and dictated the witnesses to depose in a particular manner. The Party I has stated that the Inquiry Officer, Shri D. V. Raju was the Deputy Manager (Personnel) of the Party II and that he had issued letter dated 1-12-1999 to the Party I alleging that the Party I had remained absent without leave or permission from 30-10-1999. The Party I has stated that the Inquiry Officer, Shri Raju had already come to the conclusion that the Party I had committed the misconduct and as such he ought not to have been appointed as the Inquiry Officer.

9. The Party I has further stated that Shri S. S. Sail was the Presenting Officer in the inquiry in respect of the charge-sheet dated 28-12-1999 despite which, he was appointed as an Inquiry Officer in the inquiry in respect of charge-sheet dated 1-06-2000. The Party I has stated that being a Presenting Officer in the previous inquiry, said Shri Sail was biased against him and as such he ought not to have been appointed as an Inquiry Officer in the second charge-sheet.

10. The Party I has stated that Shri Sail had not recorded the statements of the witnesses in the manner deposed by him. He has further stated that Mr. Sail interfered with the recording of evidence and to prompt Management witnesses to depose in a particular manner. The Party I has stated in the course of inquiry, the General Manager (Production) had issued a letter dated 24-07-2002, whereby he had sought to correct the date of alleged incident from 10-5-2000 to 08-5-2000. The Party I had stated that the complainant, Shri Ajit Basak was absent from duty on 10-05-2000. Hence, the said correction was made with malafide intention and with an object of creating evidence. The Party I has also stated that the case of the management was not supported by its witnesses. The Party I has stated that the findings of the Inquiry Officer are not based on the evidence on record and that the findings are perverse and illegal.

11. The Party I has stated that the show cause notice on the proposed punishment was issued to

him without giving him an opportunity of making representation on findings. The Party I has stated that his dismissal is illegal as the said order was not passed in accordance with the provisions of the Certified Standing Orders. The Party I has stated that the General Manager is an appellate authority and as such he was not competent to pass the order. The Party I has further stated that he has been dismissed from service for being an active member of Shipyard Employees Union (Goa) and for not yielding to the pressure of the Management to leave the said Union and join the rival Union. The Party I has stated that the action of the Party II is malafide and illegal. Hence, the Party I had prayed for reinstatement with the consequential benefits.

12. The Party II has denied that the inquiries were conducted in violation of the principles of natural justice. The Party II has stated that in both these inquiries the Party I was allowed to be represented by a representative of his choice and he was given reasonable opportunity to defend himself in respect of the charges levelled against him. The Party II has stated that the Party I has fully participated in the inquiries and had cross-examined the Management witnesses and had examined himself in his defence.

13. The Party II has further stated on receipt of the findings of the Inquiry Officers in both these inquiries, the Party I was issued notice and was given an opportunity to show cause on the proposed punishment. The Party I was also appraised of this past records. The Party II has stated since the explanation given by the Party I was not satisfactory and on considering the past records, service of the Party I was terminated. The Party II has stated that the dismissal order is legal, bonafide and justified. The Party II has denied that the dismissal order was not issued in accordance with the provisions of the Certified Standing Orders of the Company. The Party II has also denied that it had dismissed the Party I for his Union activities or that it had pressurized he Party I to join any other Union. The Party II has denied that its action was malafide, unjustified and illegal.

14. Based on the aforesaid pleadings, the following issues were framed:

1. Whether the Party I proves that, the domestic enquiries conducted against him by the Party II are not fair and proper?
2. Whether the Party II proves that charges of misconduct levelled against the Party I are proved to the satisfaction of the Court by acceptable evidence?

3. Whether the Party I proves that dismissal of his services by Party II w.e.f. 13-08-2001 is illegal and unjustified?

4. Whether the Party I is entitled for any relief?

5. What Award?

15. Issue Nos. 1 and 2 were treated as preliminary issues. Both parties had adduced evidence and advanced arguments on these issues. Findings on these issues were given vide order dated 7-7-2009 wherein the inquiries conducted against Party I were held to be proper. Charges levelled against the Party I in both charge-sheets were also held to be proved. Hence parties were called upon to adduce evidence on the remaining issues.

16. Both parties have adduced evidence on issue No. 3. Lnd. Adv. Shri Nigalye has argued on behalf of the Party I and Lnd. Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat has argued on behalf of the Party II. I have perused the records and my findings on the issue are as under:

Issue No. 3: This issue pertains to the quantum of punishment. It is not in dispute that on receipt of the findings submitted by the Enquiry Officers in respect of charge-sheets dated 28-12-1999 and 24-7-2000, the Party II, vide notices dated 28-11-2000 and 24-4-2001, had called upon the Party I to show cause as to why he should not be dismissed from service. The Party I had filed his reply to these notices. On considering the replies of the Party I and his past records, the Party II terminated the services of the Party I vide order dated 24-4-2001.

17. Lnd. Adv. Shri Nigalye has argued that the Party I was unable to attend duties due to his illness as such the Party II was not justified in terminating the services of the Party I. Lnd. Adv. Shri Nigalye has further argued that order of dismissal is not justified as the past records of the Party I are clean and blemishless. He contends that the records produced by the Party II are of distant past and are not relevant. Lnd. Adv. Shri Nigalye has further argued that the charge-sheets at Exb. 22 and 24 were served on the Party I. There is no evidence to prove that the said charge-sheets were served on the Party I or that the same were withdrawn at the intervention of the Union. Lnd. Adv. Shri Nigalye contends that same mere issuance of charge-sheets, which had been withdrawn subsequently, does not prove misconduct.

18. Lnd. Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat has argued that the Party I has been held guilty of absenteeism and

insubordination and indiscipline at the workplace. Lnd. Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat has argued that the Party I is guilty of grave misconduct. He has relied upon the judgments of the Apex Court in the case of *Delhi Transport Corporation v/s Sardar Singh* 2004 III CLR 289, *L & T Komatsu Ltd., v/s N. Udaykumar* 2008 I CLR 978, *Employers in relation to the management of West Bokaro Colliery of M/s. TISCO Ltd., v/s Workman* 2008 II CLR 220 and the decision of the Bombay High Court in the case of *P. V. Kenve v/s BSNL (Telecom Factory), Mumbai and Another* 2010 I CLR 170.

19. There is no dispute that Section 11 A of the Industrial Disputes Act vests the Tribunal with discretion to substitute the order of discharge of dismissal into an order of reinstatement or give such other relief to the Workman including the award of any lesser punishment in lieu of discharge or dismissal as the circumstances of the case may require.

20. In the case of *Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd., v/s N. B. Nawade* 2005-I CLR 803 a three Judge Bench of the Apex Court after referring to the decisions in the case of *U.P.S.R.T.C. v/s Subhash Chandra* (Supra) and *Kailash Nath Gupta v/s Enquiry Officer* (2003 II CLR 72) has held that “It is not doubt true that after introduction of Section 11-A in the Industrial Disputes Act, certain amount of discretion is vested with the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal in interfering with the quantum of punishment awarded by the Management where the concerned Workman is found guilty of misconduct. The said area of discretion has been very well defined by the various judgements of this Court referred to hereinabove and it is certainly not unlimited as has been observed by the Division Bench of the High Court. The discretion which can be exercised under Section 11-A is available only on the existence of certain factors like punishment being disproportionate to the gravity of misconduct so as to disturb the conscience of the court, or the existence of any mitigating circumstances which requires the reduction of the sentence, or the past conduct of the Workman which may persuade the Labour Court to reduce the punishment. In the absence of any such factor existing, the Labour Court cannot by way of sympathy alone exercise the power under Section 11-A of the Act and reduce the punishment”.

21. The Principles which emerge from the aforesaid decision and several other decisions of Apex Court are that the Tribunal/Court can interfere with the punishment and after the same. However, the discretion vested under Section 11 of

the Act should be exercised judiciously when the punishment is either shockingly disproportionate to the gravity of misconduct and shocks the conscience of the Tribunal/Court or when the past conduct of the Workman persuades the Tribunal/Court to reduce the punishment or when there are mitigating circumstances which require reduction of the sentence.

22. In the light of the aforesaid binding principles laid down by the Apex Court, the question which falls for my consideration is whether the penalty imposed on the Party I is shockingly disproportionate to the charges levelled and proved and whether the Party I has made out a case for exercise of discretionary jurisdiction or not.

23. It is to be noted that the Party I has been held guilty of absenteeism, committing acts of subversive of discipline, loitering while on duty and willful insubordination. In the case of *Delhi Transport Corporation* (supra), the Apex Court has held that “when an employee absents himself from duty, even without sanctioned leave for very long period, it prima facie shows lack of interest in work. Para 19(h) of the Standing Order as quoted above relates to habitual negligence of duties and lack of interest in the Authority’s work. When an employee absents himself from duty without sanctioned leave the Authority can, on the basis of the records, come to a conclusion about the employee being habitually negligent in duties and an exhibited lack of interest in the employer’s work. Ample material was produced before the Tribunal in each case to show as to how the concerned employees were remaining absent for long periods which effect the work of the employer and the concerned employee was required at least to bring some material on record to show as to how his absence was on the basis of sanctioned leave and as to how there was no negligence. Habitual absence is a factor which establishes lack of interest in work. There cannot be any sweeping generalization. But at the same time some telltale features can be noticed and pressed into service to arrive at conclusions in the departmental proceedings”.

24. In the case of *L & T Komatsu Ltd., v/s N. Udaykumar* (2008 I CLR 978), (supra), the Apex Court has reiterated that habitual absenteeism is gross violation of justice. The Apex Court has held that the Tribunal or the Labour Court cannot interfere with the quantum of punishment based on irrational or extraneous factors and certainly not on what it considers a compassionate ground.

25. In the case of *Pandurang Vithal Keven v/s Bharat Sanchar Nigam* (supra) the Division

Bench of the Bombay High Court has held that unauthorized habitual absence is a misconduct which exhibits irresponsibility and lack of interest in work and devotion to duty.

26. In the light of the principles laid down in the aforesaid cases it is crystal clear that unauthorized absence or habitual absence is a gross misconduct, which in the absence of mitigating circumstances warrants dismissal.

27. In the present case, the Party I is held guilty of habitual absence without leave. The records indicate that the Party I had not reported for duty from 30-10-1999 till the date of the charge-sheet i.e. 28-12-1999 and there after till 21-2-2000. Thus the absenteeism alleged in the charge-sheet dated 28-12-1999 is not of few days but is of several months. Through the Party I had claimed to be sick from 30-10-1999, he had neither applied for leave nor intimated about his sickness but sent a medical certificate on 28th November, 1999. The said medical certificate indicates that the Party I was advised rest for twenty nine days w.e.f. 30-10-1999. The Party I neither reported to work on the expiry of twenty nine days nor applied for leave or extension on the ground of sickness but on 19th February, 2000 forwarded three certificates dated 29-11-1997, 6-12-1999 and 21-1-2009 which are held to be false. The conduct of the Party I in remaining absent without leave or intimation and thereafter submitting false certificates to justify his absence is a total indication of lack of devotion to duty.

28. It is also pertinent to note that the evidence of Shri Subhash Khandolkar, Jr. Manager (Personnel) in Personnel and Administrative Department indicates that the Party II is under administrative control of Ministry of Defence of Government of India. The Party II undertakes manufacture and repairs of various types of ships vessels etc. for Naval, Coast Guards, Customs and other Government Undertakings. The work undertaken has to be delivered within the time schedule fixed, failing which the Party II has to pay heavy penalty/liquidated damages for the delay in delivery. This witness has deposed that absenteeism and unpunctuality of employees causes loss and hardship to the Party II and also causes damages to its reputation. This statement has gone unchallenged, gives a clear picture of the nature of work/business activities of the Party II and how the absence or unpunctuality of employees affects the work as well as the reputation of the Party II.

29. The evidence of Subhash Khandolkar also indicates that the past record of the Party I is far from satisfactory. The evidence of this witness

vis-à-vis the documentary evidence at Exbts. 17 to 20 and 22 to 26 which pertains to the past records of the Party I clearly indicate that:

- a) The Party I was issued letter dated 15-06-1990 (Exb.17) whereby he was called upon to explain as to why he had issued a press note regarding functioning of the Company.
- b) The Party I was issued charge-sheets dated 29-8-1994 (Exb. 18) for remaining absent without leave and thereby committing misconduct under Clause 29 (VI) of the Certified Standing Orders of the Company.
- c) The Party I was issued charge-sheet dated 20-11-1995 (Exb. 19) for unauthorized absence and thereby committing misconduct under Clause 29 (VI) of the Certified Standing Orders of the Company.
- d) The Party I was issued suspension order dated 28-3-2000 (Exb. 20) by way of punishment in respect of charges levelled vide charge-sheet dated 6-10-1998.
- e) The Party I was issued charge-sheet dated 6-10-1987 (Exb. 22) for leaving the work place, inciting and instigating others to stop work etc.
- f) The Party I was issued warning letter dated 26-04-1991 (Exb. 23) whereby the Party I was warned for reporting late despite repeated oral warnings.
- g) The Party I was issued charge-sheets dated 21-1-1995 (Exb. 24) for remaining absent without leave.
- h) The Party I was issued suspension order dated 19-8-1998 (Exb. 25) and charge-sheets dated 6-10-1998 (Exb. 26) for instigating and stopping other Workman from working and thereby causing loss to the Company.

30. The witness Subhash Khandolkar has stated that the charge-sheets dated 29-8-1994 (Exb. 18), 20-11-1995 (Exb. 19), 6-10-1987 (Exb. 22), and 21-1-1995 (Exb. 24) were withdrawn at the oral intervention of the Union. This witness has denied that there was no intervention by the Union and that no action was taken against the Party I since the charges levelled against him were false. It is to be noted that the Party I has not specifically denied that the charge-sheets were withdrawn due to the intervention of the Union but has merely stated that he does not recollect whether the Party II had not taken action against him because

of the intervention of the Union. This statement can neither be considered as a denial of a categorical statement made by the witness Subhash Khandolkar nor can be evidence of Subhash Khandolkar be discarded on basis of such vague statement. This being the position, I am inclined to accept the evidence of the witness Subhash Khandolkar that the said charge-sheets were withdrawn due to the intervention of the Union.

31. It is also pertinent to note that on receipt of the findings of the Enquiry Officer in charge-sheet dated 28-12-1999, the Party II had issued notice dated 28-11-2000 to the Party I to show cause against the proposed punishment of dismissal. The said notice which forms part of the enquiry proceedings at Exb. E1 colly also makes reference to warnings letters dated 24-4-1991 and 23-06-1995 for reporting late and remaining absent without leave/permission. The Party I had replied to the said notice vides reply dated 22-1-2001. A perusal of the said reply which forms part of the enquiry proceedings at Exb. E1 colly, clearly indicates that the Party I had not denied having received these warning letters. The fact that the Party I was issued such warning letters in the past also indicates that the incident referred to in the charge-sheet was not an isolated incident. On the contrary it forfeits the contention of the Party II that the Party I was a habitual absentee and a later comer. This also intensifies the gravity of the misconduct.

32. It is also to be noted that apart from the charge of absenteeism, which is per say a grave misconduct and which warrants dismissal, the Party I is also held guilty of act of insubordination and subversion of discipline and loitering while on duty. In the case of employers, in relation to the *management of West Bakaro Colliery (supra)*, the Apex Court held that the act of leaving the workplace without permission and disorderly behaviour with superior and co-worker is a serious misconduct and restored the order of dismissal passed by the domestic Tribunal and the punishing authority.

33. As stated earlier, in the instant case, the Party I is held to be guilty of loitering while on duty and when questioned by the superior he indulged in acts of insubordination. Such acts, if brushed aside as minor lapses, can severely affect discipline at work place. Hence, it is necessarily to take stringent punitive measures which will have deterrent effect and discourage the other like minded employees from resorting to such acts.

34. In the case of *Hombe Gowda Education Trust & Another v/s State of Karnataka & Others AIR 2006 SC 975*, the Apex Court has held that *"This Court has come a long way from its earlier view points. The recent trend in the decisions of this Court seek to strike a balance between the earlier approach of the industrial relation wherein only the interest of the Workmen was sought to be protected with the avowed object of fast industrial growth of the country. In several decisions of this Court it has been noticed that how discipline at the workplaces/ industrial undertaking received a setback. In view of the change in economic policy of the country, it may not now be proper to allow the employees to break the discipline with impunity. Our country is governed by rule of law. All actions, therefore, must be taken in accordance with law. Law declared by this Court in terms of Article 141 of the Constitution of India, as noticed in the decisions noticed supra, categorically demonstrates that the Tribunal would not normally interfere with the quantum of punishment imposed by the employers unless an appropriate case is made out therefor. The Tribunal being inferior to that of this court was bound to follow the decisions of this Court which are applicable to the fact of the present case in question. The Tribunal can neither ignore the ratio laid down by this Court nor refuse to follow the same"*.

35. In the instant case, the Party I has been held guilty of a serious misconduct. The past records of the Party I are not at all satisfactory. The Party I has not shown any other mitigating circumstances to interfere with the punishment imposed on him keeping in mind the binding principles laid down by the Apex Court in several decisions referred hereinabove and considering the nature of misconduct and the past records of the Party I, in my considered view, the punishment imposed on the Party I cannot be said to be shockingly disproportionate. Consequently the penalty imposed is neither illegal nor unjustified and this is not a fit case to interfere with the penalty imposed on the Party I. Hence, issue No. 3 is answered in the negative.

Issue No. 4: The Party I is held guilty of charges levelled in both charge-sheets and his services have been terminated. The domestic enquiry is held to be fair and proper and the charges levelled against the Party I are held to be proved to the satisfaction

of the Tribunal. The penalty imposed on the Party I is held to be legal and justified. This being the case the Party I is not entitled for any relief. Issue No. 4 is answered accordingly.

36. Under the circumstances and in view of the discussion supra, I pass the following order.

ORDER

1. The action of the management of M/s. Goa Shipyard Limited, Vaddem, Vasco da Gama, Goa, in dismissing Shri Santosh T. Naik, Structural Fitter, from service with effect from 13-8-2001, is held to be legal and justified.
 2. Workman is not entitled for any relief.
- Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(A. Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-
cum-Labour Court-I.

Department of Law and Judiciary
Law (Establishment) Division

**Notifications by the High Court of
Judicature Appellate Side, Bombay**

No. A.3945/G/2011

Read: 1) High Court Notification No. A.3945/
/G/2010 dated 10th August, 2010.

2) High Court Notification No. A.3945/
/G/2011 dated 20th January, 2011.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 13 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Hon'ble Judges, are pleased to accept the proposal of the Principal District & Sessions Judge, Panaji for allowing Ms. Maria Celeste Lucia Cecilia Cota Viegas E Mascarenhas, Special Judicial Magistrate for Tiswadi & Bardez Talukas and Shri Shekhar V. Usgaonkar, Special Judicial Magistrate for Pernem & Bicholim Talukas to hold additional charge of the post of Special Judicial Magistrates for Ponda and Satari Talukas respectively in addition to their own duties until further orders.

High Court, Bombay
Dated: 27th April, 2011.

(S. B. Shukre),
Registrar General.

No. A-3924/G/2011

Subject: Grant of First Assured Career Progression Scale (ACP-I) to the Judicial Officers as per the recommendations of the Honourable Shetty Commission, the directions given by the Honourable Supreme Court in its Judgment dated 23-3-2003 in Writ Petition No. (C) 1022/89 All India Judges' Association v/s Union of India and Others and revised Pay Scale as per recommendations of the Honourable Padmanabhan Commission.

The Honourable the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to confer the first Assured Career Progression Scale i.e. ₹ 43,690-1,080-49,090-1,230-56,470 on the following Judicial Officers in the cadre of Senior Civil Judges w.e.f. the dates shown against their respective names. Accordingly the concerned heads of the departments shall refix the pay of these Officers in accordance with the Order No. 8/44/97-Fin(R & C)-FPC/Part IV dated 8th May, 2003 issued by the Government of Goa in Finance (Rev. & Cont.) Department and other relevant orders/circulars/judgments.

Sr. No.	Name of the Judicial Officers	Date of appointment in cadre	Date of conferment of ACP	Present posting
1.	Smt. Prabhu Tendulkar Vandana M.	22-03-2004	22-03-2009	Ad hoc DJ-2, Margao.
2.	Shri D'Silva Vincent M.	22-03-2004	22-03-2009	Ad hoc DJ-2, Panaji.
3.	Shri Noronha Ashley L.C.	22-03-2004	22-03-2009	Civil Judge, Senior Division & J.M.F.C., Vasco-da-Gama, Marago.
4.	Kum. Ambre Vijaya V.	22-03-2004	22-03-2009	Civil Judge, Senior Division & J.M.F.C., Mapusa, Panaji.

5. Smt. Joshi 22-03-2004 22-03-2009 Civil
Kshama M. Judge,
Senior
Division
&
J.M.F.C.,
Vasco-
-da-
-Gama,
Margao.

High Court, Bombay (S. B. Shukre),
Dated: 3rd May, 2011. Registrar General.

Notification

No. 6/28/92/LD(Misc-I)/Part/809

Read: Government Notification No. 6/28/92/
/LD/(Misc-I)Part dated 15-06-2004
published in the Official Gazette,
Series II No. 13 dated 24-06-2004.

In supersession of the Government Notification cited above and in pursuance of rule 16 of the Goa State Legal Services Authority Rules, 1996, the Government of Goa hereby notifies that any Citizen of India whose annual income from all sources does not exceed ₹ 1,20,000/- (Rupees one lakh twenty thousand only) shall be entitled to legal services, under clause (h) of Section 12 of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 (Central Act 39 of 1987), if the case is before a Court other than the Supreme Court of India.

This Notification shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

N. P. Singnapurkar, Under Secretary, Law (Estt.).

Porvorim, 29th April, 2011.

Department of Legal Metrology

Office of the Controller, Legal Metrology

Order

No. 2/35/11-CLM/283/1008

Consequent upon retirement on 30-04-2011 (a.n.) of Shri N. M. Naik, Controller, Legal Metrology, Shri V. R. Naik, Assistant Controller, Legal Metrology, Central Laboratory Packaged and Commodities, Panaji shall hold the charge of the post of the Controller, Legal Metrology and carry out the

current duties with effect from 01-05-2011 (b.n.) in addition to his own duties until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Tahang Taggu, Secretary, Legal Metrology.

Panaji, 2nd May, 2011.

Department of Mines

Directorate of Mines & Geology

Order

No. 96/436/88/II R/Mines/256

Whereas Shri Gangadhar Narsingdas Agrawal of Margao, Goa (hereinafter referred to as the "lessee" by an Order No. 96/436/88/Mines dated 21-11-1989 was granted renewal of mining lease bearing Title of Concession No. 06 of 24-08-1961, covering an area of 92.2430 ha. of land situated in village Maina of Quepem Taluka for a period of 10 years effective from 22-11-1987. A lease deed was executed on 31-07-1990 as required under Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. By an application dated 20-11-1996, lessee again applied for renewal for further period, being the second installment of first renewal period. As the application could not be disposed off, the first renewal period is deemed to have extended and expired on 21-11-2007 by virtue of provisions of Rule 24A(6) of MCR, 1960.

And whereas on 19-09-2006, the lessee applied for renewal of mining lease for further period of 20 years effective from 22-11-2007 and the application is under process.

And whereas the lessee by letter No. ACJ/331/64 dated 17-5-2010 applied for transfer of the mining lease in favour of his Associate Company, Agrawal Minerals (Goa) Private Limited.

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 37 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 and all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Government of Goa hereby consents to the transfer of the said mining lease by the lessee, in favour of Agrawal Minerals (Goa) Private Limited, subject to the same terms and conditions governing the said mining lease stipulated in the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 (Central Act 67 of 1957) and the rules framed thereunder and subject to the compliance of the provisions of the Act, 1957 and the rules made thereunder as well the other relevant Acts and Rules as may be applicable towards renewal of mining lease for second renewal period.

Shri Gangadhar Narsingdas Agrawal and Agrawal Minerals (Goa) Private Limited shall

execute a transfer lease deed within a period of three months as required under Rule 37-A of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.

Arvind D. Loliyekar, Director of Mines & Geology.
Panaji, 3rd May, 2011.

Department of Personnel

Order

No. 3/1/89-PER-Vol.IV(A)/PF (B)

Read: Order No. 14016/6/2010-UTS.I dated 11-06-2010.

Sanction of Government is hereby accorded for creation of the post of Inspector General of Police on supernumerary post in Police Department, Panaji for the specific period so as to enable Smt. Sundari Nanda, IPS (AGMU:88), Inspector General of Police to draw the salary on promotion during the period from 11-06-2010 to 04-05-2011 as a special case.

Smt. Sundari Nanda, IPS (AGMU:88), Dy. Inspector General of Police, was promoted as Inspector General of Police vide Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, Order No. 14016/6/2010-UTS. I dated 11-06-2010. Smt. Sundari Nanda, IPS (AGMU:88) Dy. Inspector General of Police, reported for duty in this administration as Inspector General of Police on 11-06-2010.

The expenditure shall be debited to the Budget Head: "2055: Police, 109: District Police, 01: Police Force, 01: Salaries"

This issues with the approval of the Administrative Reforms Department vide their U. O. No. ARD/469/F dated 15-04-2011 and with the concurrence of the Finance Department vide its U. O. No. 1417/F dated 22-04-2011.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

N. P. Singnapurker, Under Secretary (Personnel-II)
Porvorim, 5th May, 2011.

Department of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation

Directorate of Planning, Statistics and Evaluation

Notification-06 (A)

No. DPSE/IV/UID-GOA/2009/PartfileIV/196

Government of Goa is pleased to constitute the following Technical Committee-North, in connec-

tion with the UID Project in Goa with the following members:

- | | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 1. The District Collector-cum-Registrar UID, North Goa, Office of the Collector, Panaji | ... Chairman. |
| 2. The Director, Directorate of Information & Technology, Porvorim | ... Member. |
| 3. The State Informatic Officer, NIC, Goa State Branch, Porvorim | ... Member. |
| 4. The Joint Director, Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation | ... Member. |
| 5. The Additional Collector/Dy. Collector, North Goa, Office of the Collector, North Goa District | ... Member. |
| 6. The District Informatic Officer, NIC, Goa State Branch, Porvorim | ... Member
Convenor. |

The Committee shall look into the following vital issues:

- * The Committee shall provide/suggest solutions to all problems concerning software to be used during the enrolment process.
- * The Committee shall ensure that proper certified equipment/machines, as per guidelines of UIDAI, are in use by the Enrolment Agency at their Enrolment Stations.
- * The Committee shall inspect the Enrolment Stations regularly.
- * The Committee shall assist the Registrar in ensuring proper storage of enrolment database/backup.
- * The Committee shall oversee day-to-day electronic flow of progress of enrolment work done by Enrolment Agencies.
- * The Committee shall hold fortnightly meetings.
- * The Committee shall submit all its reports/reviews to the Collector-Registrar, a copy of which shall, invariably be submitted to the Nodal Department i.e. Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation.
- * The Committee shall oversee integration of KYR+ software to be developed by the NIC, Goa Branch, into the Main Client Software to be provided by the UIDAI, Regional Office, Mumbai.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anand Sherkhane, Director (Planning).
Panaji, 29th April, 2011.

Notification-06 (B)

No. DPSE/IV/UID-GOA/2009/PartfileIV/197

Government of Goa is pleased to constitute the following Technical Committee-South, in connection with the UID Project in Goa with the following members:

- | | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 1. The District Collector-cum-Registrar UID, South Goa, Office of the Collector, Margao | ... Chairman. |
| 2. The Director, Directorate of Information & Technology, Porvorim | ... Member. |
| 3. The State Informatic Officer, NIC, Goa State Branch, Porvorim | ... Member. |
| 4. The Joint Director, Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation | ... Member. |
| 5. The Additional Collector/Dy. Collector, South Goa, Office of the Collector, South Goa District | ... Member. |
| 6. The District Informatic Officer, NIC, Goa State Branch, Porvorim. | ... Member
Convenor. |

The Committee shall look into the following vital issues:

- * The Committee shall provide/suggest solutions to all problems concerning software to be used during the enrolment process.
- * The Committee shall ensure that proper certified equipment/machines, as per guidelines of UIDAI, are in use by the Enrolment Agency at their Enrolment Stations.
- * The Committee shall inspect the Enrolment Stations regularly.
- * The Committee shall assist the Registrar in ensuring proper storage of enrolment database/backup.
- * The Committee shall oversee day-to-day electronic flow of progress of enrolment work done by Enrolment Agencies.
- * The Committee shall hold fortnightly meetings.
- * The Committee shall submit all its reports/reviews to the Collector-Registrar, a copy of which shall, invariably be submitted to the Nodal Department i.e. Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation.

- * The Committee shall oversee integration of KYR+ software to be developed by the NIC, Goa Branch, into the Main Client Software to be provided by the UIDAI, Regional Office, Mumbai.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anand Sherkhane, Director (Planning).

Panaji, 29th April, 2011.

Notification-05

No. DPSE/IV/UID-GOA/2009/PartfileIV/195

Government of Goa is pleased to appoint a Joint Working Group in connection with the UID Project in Goa with the following members:

- | | |
|---|---------------|
| 1. The Principal Secretary (Revenue), Secretariat, Porvorim, Goa | ... Chairman. |
| 2. The Secretary (Finance & Planning), Secretariat, Porvorim, Goa | ... Member. |
| 3. The Secretary (PWD), Secretariat, Porvorim, Goa | ... Member. |
| 4. The Secretary (Electricity), Secretariat, Porvorim, Goa | ... Member. |
| 5. The Secretary (Education), Secretariat, Porvorim, Goa | ... Member. |
| 6. The Secretary (IT), Secretariat, Porvorim, Goa | ... Member. |
| 7. The Special Secretary (Home), Secretariat, Porvorim, Goa | ... Member. |
| 8. The Director, Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation | ... Member. |
| 9. The Collector-cum-Registrar UID, North Goa, Office of the Collector, North Goa District | ... Member. |
| 10. The Collector-cum-Registrar UID, South Goa, Office of the Collector, South Goa District | ... Member. |
| 11. The Director, Directorate of Education | ... Member. |
| 12. The Project Director, District Rural Development Agency, North Goa | ... Member. |
| 13. The Project Director, District Rural Development Agency, South Goa | ... Member. |

- | | |
|--|-------------------------|
| 14. The Chief Electrical Engineer,
Electricity Department | ... Member. |
| 15. The Principal Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department | ... Member. |
| 16. The Director,
Directorate of Information
Technology | ... Member. |
| 17. The State Informatics Officer,
NIC, Goa | ... Member. |
| 18. The Joint Director,
Directorate of Planning,
Statistics & Evaluation | ... Member
Convenor. |

For Smooth implementation of the Project in Goa,
The Group shall:

- * Attend Registrar on-boarding workshops.
- * Ensure integration of resident communication plan & material.
- * Ensure integration of enrolment & other required processes.
- * Ensure integration of KYR+Software with UIDAI's enrolment client.
- * Ensure deliverables/project plan activities as mentioned in the Registrar-UIDAI Readiness Checklist/Integration Project Plan are executed.
- * Define the required communication channel, issue resolution mechanism/escalation mechanism etc.
- * Identify any issues or concerns & suggest appropriate resolution mechanism.
- * Develop probable queries/grievances that can come from the beneficiaries & correct responses for them.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Anand Sherkhane, Director (Planning).

Panaji, 29th April, 2011.

Notification-07

No. DPSE/IV/UID-GOA/2009/PartfileIV/198

Government of Goa is pleased to empower the Registrars-UID, who have been appointed vide Notification No. DPSE/IV/UID-GOA/2009-03 dated 30-08-2010, to appoint Verifiers at all the selected/identified Enrolment Centers. During the course/period of the enrolment, all Verifiers shall remain at the disposal of the concerned Registrar.

The Registrars are empowered to create a separate UID Cell to be headed by an Officer not less than an Officer of the level of Dy. Collector. The Cell shall be responsible for all Administrative related works and will assist the Registrar.

The Registrar is further empowered to identify locations/premises and appoint/designate/declare them as Enrolment Centers in consultation with the concerned Government agency. The Registrar will obtain requirement of desired number of Enrolment Centers Schedule-wise (Taluka-wise) from the Nodal Department i.e. the Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation.

The Registrar will ensure that sufficient furniture, electrical fixtures, etc. are provided at the Enrolment Centers. Further, the Registrar shall be responsible to procure the necessary items from the concerned Government agencies or alternatively procure them privately on hire basis after following required codal formalities.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Anand Sherkhane, Director (Planning).

Panaji, 29th April, 2011.

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 45/2/2007-I/PHD

Read: Memorandum No. 45/2/2007-I/PHD
dated 11-02-2011.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/24(1)/2008/Vol.IV/314 dated 14-01-2011, Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Radhesh Shaba Kerkar, to the post of Medical Officer (Group "A" Gazetted) in the Pay Band—3 of ₹ 15,600-39,100 + (Grade Pay ₹ 5,400/-) under the Directorate of Health Services with immediate effect as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above and post him at Community Health Centre, Valpoi, three (3) days and Primary Health Centre, Sanquelim, three (3) days of the week alternatively.

Dr. Radhesh Shaba Kerkar, shall be on probation for a period of two years.

His character and antecedents have been verified by the District Magistrate, North Goa District,

Panaji-Goa and he has been declared medically fit by Medical Board.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Paula Fernandes, Under Secretary (Health-II).

Porvorim, 28th April, 2011.

Order

No. 6/1/2006-III/PHD

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/12/35(1)/2011/385 dated 17-03-2011, the Government is pleased to declare Dr. Shilpa Waikar alias Kerkar, Assistant Professor in Psychiatry in the Institute of Psychiatry and Human Behaviour to have completed satisfactorily her probation period of two years w.e.f. 14-11-2007 to 13-11-2009.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 2nd May, 2011.

Order

No. 44/2/2006-I/PHD

Government is pleased to accept the resignation tendered vide letter dated 03-01-2011 by Dr. Vardhan Bhobe, Senior Surgeon, Asilo Hospital, Mapusa w.e.f. 03-04-2011 (a.n.) in terms of rule 5 of CCS (Temporary Service) Rules, 1965. He stands relieved from the said post w.e.f. the same date i.e. 03-04-2011 (a.n.).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardessai, Joint Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 2nd May, 2011.

Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 23/26/2010-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. L. A. of missing

area for widening MDR 40 (Margao-Quepem Road) in V. P. Paroda and Dicarpale in Salcete Taluka.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer, SIP, Gogal, Margao-Goa to perform the functions of the Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa.
2. The Special Land Acquisition Officer, SIP, Gogal, Margao-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, W.D. VI (Roads) P.W.D., Fatorda, Margao-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer, SIP, Gogal, Margao-Goa for a

period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete

Village: Paroda

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the Owner	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
112	2 part O: Pedro Joao D'Souza. OR: 1. House owned by the owner. 2. Hotel of Villal Bhagat. 3. Liquor shop of Sebastiao Pereira.	250
109	1 part O: Pedro Joao D'Souza. T: 1. Filipa Fernandes. 2. Antonio B. Fernandes.	130
113	9 part Not Known.	15
113	4 part O: 1. Upendra Vithal Kharangate. 2. Balkrishna Vithal Kharangate. 3. Narahari Vithal Kharangate. 4. Vyankatesh Vithal Kharangate. 5. Anand Vithal Kharangate. 6. Laxmikant Vithal Kharangate.	15
113	5 part O: 1. Meghasham Vishnu Kharangatae.	10
113	6 part O: 1. Vassant Vishnu Kharangatae. 2. Balkrishna Kharangate. 3. Upendra Kharangate. 4. Narahari Kharangate. 5. Venkatesh Kharangate. 6. Bhagwant alias Ananta. 7. Laxmikant Kharangate.	30
113	2 part O: 1. Ramakant Yeshwant S. Corangate OR: House owned by the owner & Pandurang Padmanabh Kamat has his fair price shop on rently basis.	420
10	2 part O: 1. Meghashyam Keshav Naik.	130
10	6 part O: 1. Krishna Narayan Naik.	560
10	7 part O: 1. Krishna Narayan Naik.	60
10	4 part O: 1. Narahari Yeshwant Hegde.	60

1	2	3
	2. Manohar Suryaji Hegde. 3. Prabhakar Baburay Hegde 1/8. 4. Gurudas Govind Hegde. 5. Ramnath B. Hegde 1/8.	
10	5 part O: 1. Government of Goa.	10
11	1 part Not Known.	50
11	2 part O: 1. Vassudev Krishna Padiar. 2. Ramkrishna Krishna Padiar.	30
11	4 part O: 1. Chandranath Darshan Dewasthan.	15
12	1 part O: 1. Nishikant Venkatraman Sukerkar.	40
12	11 part O: 1. Yeshwant Anant Sinai Kharangate. Gurudas Janardan Sinai Kharangate. 2. Atchut Ramnath S. Corangate. 3. Purshottam Ramnath S. Corangate. 4. Satchit Keshav Sinai Corangate. 5. Monohar Krishan Sinai Corangate. 6. Somnath Krishna Sinai Corangate. 7. Kamlakant Krishna Sinai Corangate. 8. Shamsundar Vishnudas Sinai Corangate. 9. Roghuvir Vishnudas Sinai Corangate. 10. Kishor Vishnudas Sinai Corangate.	15
12	14 part O: 1. Esvont A. Sinai Corangate. 2. Gurudas J. Sinai Corangate.	25
12	4 part O: 1. Shyamsundar Vishnudas Sinai Kharangate. 2. Manmohan Krishna Sinai Kharangate.	15
12	5 part O: 1. Vasudev Krishna Padiyar. 2. Ramkrishna Krishna Padiyar.	25
12	6 part O: 1. Ramchandra Pandurang Kamat Maode. 2. Pandurang Krishna Kamat Maode.	35
12	8 part O: 1. Gurudas Govind Kharangate.	55

1	2	3	1	2	3
	2. Jagjivan Govind Kharangate.		1 4 part	O: 1. Tito Pereira.	10
	3. Laxman Govind Kharangate.		1 5 part	O: 1. Interimina Pereira.	10
12 10 part	O: 1. Krishna Narayan Naik. House owned by	410	1 6 part	O: 1. Gopal Govind Pai Raiturkar.	20
	OR: 1. B. Esperance Fernandes. Cowshed of		2 1 part	O: 1. Pedro Joao D'Souza.	70
	2. A. Pedro Joao Fernandes. Cowshed of		4 1 part	O: 1. Ramakant Yeshwant S. Corangate.	50
12 13 part	O: 1. Vassant Vishnu Kharangate.	60	9 1 part	O: 1. Sonu Naik.	340
	2. Meghashyam Vishnu Kharangate.		8 1 part	Not known.	120
	3. Upendra Vithal Kharangate.		8 2 part	O: 1. Narahari Yeshwant Hegde.	55
	4. Balkrishna Vithal Kharangate.			2. Manohar Suryagi Hegde.	
	5. Narahari Vithal Kharangate.			3. Prabhakar Baburay Hegde.	
	6. Vyankates Vithal Kharangate.			4. Gurudas Govind Hegde.	
	7. Anand Vithal Kharangate.		8 3 part	O: 1. Premanand Vaman Kharangate.	70
	8. Laxmikant Vithal Kharangate.			2. Chandrakant Vaman Kharangate.	
13 12 part	O: 1. Tulshidas Bhangui.	1160		3. Dinanath Vaman Kharangate.	
	2. Emeliano Manuel Fernandes.		8 4 part	O: 1. Chandranath Darshan Dewasthan.	10
	3. Filomena Dias E. Fernandes.		8 5 part	O: 1. Jagjivan Govind Kharangate.	15
	OR: House owned by			2. Gurudas Govind Kharangate.	
	1. Jose Pio & Caretaker Jose Pio.		8 6 part	O: 1. Government Primary School.	35
1 1 part	O: 1. Fabrica da Igraja de Paroda.	260	8 7 part	O: 1. Government Primary School.	15
	OR: House belongs to the owner		8 8 part	O: 1. Krishna Narayan Naik.	15
	1. Tea stall of Ulhas Krishna Nekar.		8 9 part	O: 1. Pandurang Padmanabh Kamat.	10
	2. Laundry of Murari Borkar.		8 10 part	O: 1. Kashinath Rawlu Prabhu Chodankar.	20
	3. Liquor shop of Antonio Francisco.		8 11 part	O: 1. Govind Vishnu Karangate.	50
1 2 part	O: 1. Ramakant Yeshwant S. Corangate.	30	8 12 part	O: 1. Guno Satu Padiar	60
1 3 part	O: 1. Pedro Joao D'Souza.	60	8 13 part	O: 1. Manmohan Krishna Sinai Karangate.	35
	2. Tito Pereira.		8 17 part	O: 1. Ramchandra Dulbe Raikar.	410
	3. Intermina Pereira.			2. Jose Francisco Fernandes.	
	OR: Some part of house is on rental basis.			3. Fermina Santana Gomes.	
	1. V. P. Paroda.			OR: Structure of	
	2. Neopoliono Monteiro.		14 1 part	O: 1. Shantabai Dharmu Pai Angle.	700
				T. Inacio Khojait.	

1	2	3	1	2	3
14	131 part O:	1. Shantabai Dharmu Pai Anglo.			140
14	2 part O:	Not Known.			60
14	3 part O:	Not Known.			50
14	4 part O:	Not Known.			50
14	5 part O:	Not Known.			65
14	6 part O:	Not Known.			170
14	7 part O:	Not Known.			60
14	8 part O:	Not Known.			50
14	9 part O:	Not Known.			65
14	10 part O:	Not Known.			55
14	11 part O:	Not Known.			55
14	12 part O:	Not Known.			35
14	13 part O:	Not Known.			20
14	14 part O:	Not Known.			30
<i>Boundaries :</i>					
North: S. No. 112/2, 113/2, 113/4, 113/5, 113/6, 113/9, Road.					
South: S. No. 109/1, 109/3, 109/4, 109/8, 109/6, 2/1, 4/1, 9/2, 9/3, 9/4, 9/5, 8/3, 8/4, 8/5, 8/6, 8/7, 8/8, 8/9, 8/10, 8/118/12, 8/13, 8/17, 14/1, 14/2, 14/3, 14/4, 14/5, 14/6, 14/7, 14/8, 14/9, 14/10, 14/11, 14/12, 14/13, 14/14.					
East : Road.					
West : Road.					
Total A: 7000					
Taluka: Salcete			Village: Dicarpace		
28	1 part O:	1. Sancia Verodiana. 2. Ligorinha Furtado.			100
<i>Boundaries :</i>					
North : Road.					
South : Survey No. 28/1.					
East : Survey No. 28/2.					
West : Survey No. 27.					
Total B: 100					
Taluka: Salcete			Village: Mullem		
2	9 part O:	Inacio Manuel Miranda.			20
2	1 part O:	Santana Fernandes e Cardosa.			320
2	8 part O:	Luis Caitano Sabino Pereira.			150
2	2 part O:	1. Nelson Noronha 2. Damacina Costa Noronha.			250
				3. Luis Godinho.	
				4. Angela Anducta Pereira.	
2	5 part O:	Sundarabai B. Gudekar.			250
2	6 part O:	Ramnath Damodar Volvotkat.			300
			OR:	Shri Anand Bhagwant Raikar. Tailoring shop owner.	
1	1 part O:	1. Maria de Piedade Miranda.			350
				2. Flavia Miranda Menezes.	
				3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.	
				4. Aurelia Miranda.	
				5. Amelia Miranda.	
				6. Mullaw Abdul Sattar.	
				7. Jacinta Pereira.	
				8. Yeshwant Vithal Karmali.	
			OR:	A) Shri Ramanath Damodar Volvotkar. Jancinta Pereira	
				B) Maria Santana Fernandes.	
				C) Anthony Rodrigues.	
				D) Ganesh Shaba Gaunkar.	
				E) Rodyo Gaunkar.	
				F) Shanu Gaunkar.	
				G) Nilu Deu Gaunkar & Shiva Deu Gaunkar.	
				H) Satu Yesso Gaunkar.	
				I) Vithoba Paik Gaunkar.	
				J) 1. Surya Shaba Gaunkar. 2. Arjun Shaba Gaunkar. 3. Kushali Shaba Gaunkar.	
				K) Sawlo Chanu Gaunkar.	
				L) 1. Shankar Shanu Gaunkar. 2. Shambu Shankar Gaunkar. 3. Pero Shanu Gaunkar.	
			M)	1. Bombo Ganesh Gaunkar. 2. Nagu Ganesh Gaunkar.	
			N)	1. Dharma Babi Gaunkar. 2. Yeshwant Babi Gaunkar.	
			O)	Bombo Ganesh Gaunkar.	

1	2	3	1	2	3
P)	Nagu Ganesh Gaunkar.			11. Nagu Ganesh Gaunkar.	
Q)	Bombo Ganesh Gaunkar.			12. Shambu Shanu Gaunkar.	
R)	1. Babuso Rama Gaunkar.			13. Yeshwant Babi Gaunkar.	
	2. Paik Sucdo Gaunkar.			14. Vithoba Paik Gaunkar.	
S)	Babuso Rama Gaunkar.	1	2 part	O: Maria Nary Gomes.	150
T)	Sada Sukdo Gaunkar.	1	3 part	O: Chapel Property.	175
U)	Shri Deu Ram Purish Dawalaya.	24	2 part	O: 1. Antonio Fernandes.	1600
V)	1. Chandra Rama Kalekar.			2. Joao Travasso.	
	2. Deu Rama Kalekar			3. Etelvina Travasso.	
W)	Smt. Ugme Raghu Borkar.	25	22 part	4. Anita Caroline Paes e Travasso.	
X)	Vithoba Chandru Kakodkar and Krishna Chandu Kakodkar.			5. Benny Travasso.	
Y)	Vithal Chanu Shivoikar and Kusta Chanu Shirvoikar.	25	10 part	1. Joao Tereza alias John Tereza.	450
Z)	Anand Bhagwant Raikar and Laximikant Bhagwant Raikar.			2. Tulsidas Anant Naik.	
A1)	Kusta Chanu Shirvoikar.			3. Mohamed Jaffar Naikwadi alias Jaffar Naikwaddi.	
	2. Kusta Chanu Shirvoikar.	26	–	O: 1. John Tereza.	768
A2)	Shri Mahadeo Dewasthan.	44	1 part	2. Mohamed Jaffar Naikwadi alias Jaffar Naikwadi.	
A3)	Nagu Ganesh Gaunkar.	44	2 part	T: Errestina Travasso.	
A4)	1. Nagu Ganesh Gaunkar.	44	3 part	O: 1. Maria Luiza A de Braganza Pereira.	165
	2. Chandru Rama Kalekar.	44	4 part	2. Laura de Braganza Pereira.	
	3. Shri Deu Rama Kalekar.	44	5 part	T: Babuso Rama Gaonkar.	
	4. Vishnu Deu Gaunkar.	65	136 part	O: David Joao Fernandes.	1850
	5. Paik Sukdo Gaunkar.	66	2 part	O: Venkatesh Suryaji Naik Karmali.	1250
	6. Shri Babuso Rama Gaunkar.	66	3 part	O: Venkatesh Suryaji Naik Karmali.	190
	7. Shankar Shanu Gaunkar.	66	46 part	O: Jose Rebeiro.	300
	8. Arjun Shaba Gaunkar.	66	5 part	T: Xavier Rocha.	
	9. Raghoba Nagu Gaunkar.	66	6 part	O: Francisco Monteda Silva Miranda.	50
	10. Raghoba Nagu Gaunkar.	66		O: Jose Rebeiro.	850
				T: Xavier Rocha.	
				O: Jose Rebelo.	250
				T: Xavier Rocha.	
				O: Vicentinha Lacerde.	25
				T: Manuel Vaz.	
				O: Vicentinha Lacerda.	30
				T: Salvador Rocha.	
				O: Vicentinha Lacerda.	45
				T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	
				O: Vicentinha Lacerda.	80
				T: Manuel Vaz.	
				O: Vicentinha Lacerda.	75
				T: Salvador Vaz.	

1	2	3	1	2	3
66	7 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 80	66	36 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50
66	8 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 80		T: Salvador Rocha.	
		T: Simao Vaz.	66	37 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 65
66	10 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	
		T: Salvador Rocha.	66	38 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50
66	11 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50		T: Simao Vaz.	
		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	66	39 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50
66	12 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 60		T: Manuel Vaz.	
		T: Simao Vaz.	66	40 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50
66	13 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 40		T: Salvador Rocha.	
		T: Manuel Vaz.	66	41 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 60
66	14 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	
		T: Salvador Rocha.	66	42 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 60
66	15 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50	66	47 part	O: Govt. of Goa. 175
		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	66	45 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50
66	16 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 35	66	1 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 70
		T: Simao Vaz.	67	73 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 60
66	17 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 35		T: Minguel Oliveira.	
		T: Manuel Vaz.	67	74 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 40
66	18 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 25		T: Rosario Fernandes.	
		T: Salvador Rocha.	67	75 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 40
66	19 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 25		T: Sebastiao Fernandes.	
		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	67	76 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 45
66	20 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 20		T: Pedro Fernandes.	
		T: Simao Vaz.	67	77 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 50
66	21 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 10		T: Gabriel Dias.	
		T: Manuel Vaz.	67	156 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 50
66	22 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 10		T: Rosario Dias.	
		T: Salvador Rocha.	67	79 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 50
66	23 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 15		T: Conceicao Fernandes.	
		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	67	80 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 80
66	24 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 30		T: Minguel Oliveira.	
		T: Simao Vaz.	67	81 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 50
66	25 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 35		T: Rosario Fernandes.	
		T: Manuel Vaz.	67	82 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 50
66	26 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50		T: Sebastiao Fernandes.	
		T: Salvador Rocha.	67	83 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 50
66	27 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50		T: Gabriel Dias.	
		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	67	84 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 50
66	28 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 90		T: Pedro Fernandes.	
		T: Simao Vaz.	67	85 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 45
66	29 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 60		T: Conceicao Fernandes.	
		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	67	155 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 30
66	30 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50		T: Antonio Dias.	
		T: Salvador Rocha.	67	157 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 85
66	31 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 85		T: Minguel Oliveira.	
		T: Manuel Vaz.	67	158 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 40
66	32 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 40		T: Rosario Fernandes.	
		T: Salvador Rocha.	67	159 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 40
66	33 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 35		T: Sebastiao Fernandes.	
		T: Ana Piedade Vaz.	67	166 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 50
66	34 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50		T: Pedro Fernandes.	
		T: Simao Vaz.	67	167 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan. 25
66	35 part	O: Vicentina Lacerda. 50		T: Rosario Dias.	
		T: Manuel Vaz.			

1	2	3	1	2	3
67 168 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan.	25	150 32 part	O: 1. Raghuvir Paiguinkar.	450
	T: Conceicao Fernandes.			T: 2. Bombo Ganesh	
67 169 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan.	25		Gaunkar.	
	T: Pedro Fernandes.		149 1 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	1000
67 170 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan.	25		Silva Miranda.	
	T: Gabriel Dias.			2. Raimundo Andrade.	
67 171 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan.	25		T: Raghu Babuso Gaunkar.	
	T: Conceicao Fernandes.		149 3 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	115
67 172 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan.	25		Silva Miranda.	
	T: Rosario Dias.			2. Raimundo Andrade.	
67 173 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan.	25		T: Purshottam Paik	
	T: Sebastiao Fernandes.			Gaunkar.	
67 174 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan.	25	149 4 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	90
	T: Rosario Fernandes.			Silva Miranda.	
67 165 part	O: Mahadeo Devasthan.	50		2. Raimundo Andrade.	
	T: Minguel Oliveira.			T: Ernestina Travasso.	
67 175 part	O: Govt. of Goa.	300	149 5 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	90
209 1 part	O: Francisco Armando	75		Silva Miranda.	
	T: Fleix Pereira.			2. Raimundo Andrade.	
	T: Anthony Faleira.			T: Purshottam Paik	
209 4 part	O: Xavier Monte De'Silva	850		Gaunkar.	
	T: Miranda.		149 6 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	90
	T: Salvador Travasso.			Silva Miranda.	
210 3 part	O: 1. Public.	100		T: Ernestina Travasso.	
	O: 2. Govt. of Goa.		149 8 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	60
210 9 part	O: Mariano Travasso.	300		Silva Miranda.	
	T: Mariano Travasso.			2. Raimundo Andrade.	
210 2 part	O: 1. Shripad Damodar	275		T: Purshottam Paik	
	Volvoikar.			Gaunkar.	
	2. Herculano Marris		149 9 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	60
	Travasso.			Silva Miranda.	
	T: Gabriel Fernandes.			2. Raimundo Andrade.	
	Strucure of			T: Ernestina Travasso.	
	G: 1. Gabriel Fernandes.		149 10 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	70
	H: 2. Divakar Volvotkar.			Silva Miranda.	
	F: Cowshed of			2. Raimundo Andrade.	
	3. Joao Fernandes.			T: Purshottam Gaunkar.	
211 3 part	O: Shri Jalmi Dewasthan	100	149 11 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	75
	Property.			Silva Miranda.	
211 5 part	O: 1. Camilo Cota.	100		2. Raimundo Andrade.	
	2. Roberto Cota.			T: Ernestina Travasso.	
211 6 part	O: Joaquim Fernandes.	50	149 12 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	80
211 7 part	O: Not Known.	125		Silva Miranda.	
211 4 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte	250		2. Raimundo Andrade.	
	De'Silva Miranda.			T: Purshottam Gaunkar.	
	2. M/s. Cota Industries.		149 13 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	80
	3. Inacio Camilo			Silva Miranda.	
	Constancio Cota alias			2. Raimundo Andrade.	
	Camilo Cota.			T: Ernestina Travasso.	
	4. Roberto Egidio Monte		149 14 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	90
	Cota alias Robert Cota.			Silva Miranda.	
				2. Raimundo Andrade.	
150 3 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de	1400		T: Purshottam Gaunkar.	
	Silva Miranda.				
	2. Raimundo Andrade.		149 18 part	O: 1. Xavier Monte de	70
	T: Gabriel Fernandes.			Silva Miranda.	

1				2				3			
1				2				3			
147	1 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Jacinta Fernandes.	50	147	14 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Kust Chanu Shirvoikar.	90		
147	2 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Kust Chanu Shirvoikar.	140	147	15 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Jacinta Fernandes.	140		
147	3 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Jacinta Fernandes.	85	147	16 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Kust Chanu Shirvoikar.	40		
147	4 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Kust Chanu Shirvoikar.	75	146	7 part	O:	Xavier Monte de Silva Miranda. OR: Shri Narayan Yesu Dessai. Caretaker of coconut trees.	50		
147	5 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Jacinta Fernandes.	60	146	8 part	O:	1. Ema Travasso E Silva. 2. Govt. of Goa, The Executive Eng., Works Div. XIV, Water Resources Department. T: Ernestina Travasso.	530		
147	6 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Kust Chanu Shirvoikar.	80	100	1 part	O:	1. Germano Fernandes. 2. Monica D'Costa. House owned by OR: 1. Damu Bhiku Mangaokar. 2. Piru Bhiku Mangaokar. 3. Omu Bhiku Mangaokar. 4. Krishna Bhiku Mangaokar. 5. Demu Bhiku Mangaokar. B: Cowshed owned by Demu Bhiku Mangaokar.	230		
147	7 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Jacinta Fernandes.	65	100	2 part	O:	Sebastiao Alfonso. C: House owned by 1. Smt. Rosalina Oliveira. D: 2. Sebastiao Alfonso	1100		
147	8 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Kust Chanu Shirvoikar.	100	100	85 part	O:	1. Catarina Ubaldina. 2. Travasso E. Cardozo alias Ketty Cardozo.	250		
147	9 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Jacinta Fernandes.	70	98	1 part	O:	1. Francisco Rocha. T: Salvador Rocha.	120		
147	10 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Kust Chanu Shirvoikar.	90	98	2 part	O:	1. Maria De Piedade Miranda. 2. Flavia Miranda e Menezes.	100		
147	11 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Jacinta Fernandes.	70							
147	12 part	O:	1. Philipe D'Costa. 2. One.	90							
147	13 part	O:	1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda. 2. Raimundo Andrade. T: Jacinta Fernandes.	75							

1	2	3	1	2	3
	3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.		98 10 part	O: 1. Maria De Piedade Miranda.	70
	4. Aurelia Miranda.			2. Flavia Miranda e Menezes.	
	5. Francisco Rocha.			3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.	
98	3 part	O: 1. Maria De Piedade Miranda.		4. Aurelia Miranda.	
		2. Flavia Miranda e Menezes.		5. Amelia Miranda.	
		3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.	98 11 part	T: Nicolau Mariano Dias.	
		4. Aurelia Miranda.	O: 1. Maria De Piedade Miranda.		60
		5. Amelia Miranda.		2. Flavia Miranda e Menezes.	
		6. Francisco Rocha.		3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.	
	T: Salvador Rocha.			4. Aurelia Miranda.	
98	2 AP	O: 1. Maria De Piedade Miranda.		5. Amelia Miranda.	
	Not known	2. Flavia Miranda E Menezes.	98 12 part	T: Nicolau Mariano Dias.	
		3. Carme Miranda E Culasco.	O: 1. Maria De Piedade Miranda.		50
		4. Aurelia Miranda.		2. Flavia Miranda e Menezes.	
		5. Francisco Rocha.		3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.	
98	7 part	O: 1. Maria De Piedade Miranda.		4. Aurelia Miranda.	
		2. Flavia Miranda E Menezes.		5. Amelia Miranda.	
		3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.		T: Andrew Faleiro.	
		4. Aurelia Miranda.	98 13 part	O: 1. Caitano D'Souza.	130
		5. Amelia Miranda.	75 1 part	O: 1. Francisco Monte de Silva Miranda.	120
		T: Nicolau Mariano Dias.	75 2 part	O: 1. Angelina Miranda.	860
98	8 part	1. Maria de Piedade Miranda.	75 3 part	T: Joaquim Antonio Dias.	
		2. Flavia Miranda e Menezes.	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.		25
		3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.	74 1 part	O: 1. Angelina Miranda.	200
		4. Aurelia Miranda.		T: Joaquim Antonio Dias.	
		5. Amelia Miranda.	74 2 part	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.	60
		T: Salvador Rocha.	74 3 part	O: 1. Sebastiao Cardoz.	80
98	9 part	O: 1. Maria de Piedade Miranda.	74 4 part	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.	75
		2. Flavia Miranda e Menezes.	74 5 part	O: 1. Sebastiao Cardozo.	100
		3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.	74 6 part	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.	140
		4. Aurelia Miranda.	74 7 part	O: 1. Sebastiao Cardozo.	100
		5. Amelia Miranda.	74 8 part	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.	120
		T: Salvador Rocha.	74 9 part	O: 1. Sebastiao Cardozo.	100
		O: 1. Maria de Piedade Miranda.	74 10 part	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.	90
		2. Flavia Miranda e Menezes.	74 11 part	O: 1. Sebastiao Cardozo.	150
		3. Carme Miranda e Culaso.	74 12 part	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.	90
		4. Aurelia Miranda.	73 1 part	O: 1. Sebastiao Cardozo.	100
		5. Amelia Miranda.	73 2 part	O: 1. Androsa Fernandes.	130
		T: Andrew Faleiro.	73 3 part	O: 1. Sebastiao Cardozo.	100
			69 1 part	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.	280
			69 2 part	O: 1. Sebastiao Cardoz.	250
			69 3 part	O: 1. Andrez Fernandes.	150
			69 4 part	O: 1. Antonio Joao Gomes.	75
				2. J. L. Anselm Gomes.	
				T: Pascual Fernandes.	

1	2	3	1	2	3
69	5 part	O: 1. Antonio Joao Gomes. 75 2. J. L. Anselm Gomes. T: Francisco Oliveira.	68	13 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 160 Kane. OR: Caretaker of coconut tree Shree Francisco Piedade Dias.
69	6 part	O: 1. Antonio Joao Gomes. 70 2. J. L. Anselm Gomes. T: Philipina Oliveira.	212 –		Does not figure in 3500 Form III
69	7 part	O: 1. Antonio Joao Gomes. 60 2. J. L. Anselm Gomes. T: Maria Oliveira.	213 –		Not known.
69	8 part	O: 1. Antonio Joao Gomes. 75 2. J. L. Anselm Gomes. T: Francisco Carvalho.	214 –		– do –
69	47 part	O: 1. Antonio Joao Gomes. 50 2. J. L. Anselm Gomes.	213 –		– do –
68	1 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 500 Kane. OR: Shri Francisco Piedade Dias.	216 –		– do –
68	2 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 20 Kane. T: Francisco Joao Dias.	<i>Boundaries :</i>		
68	3 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 50 Kane. T: Francisco Piedade Dias.	North: S. No. 2/9, 1, 8, 2, 5, 6, S. No. 1/1, 2, 3, S. No. 24/2, S. No. 25/22, 25, S. No. 26, 40/13, S. No. 216, 44/1 to 5, S. No. 66/136, 1 to 45, S. No. 67/73 to 77, 143, 79 to 85, 155, 157, 150, 159, 166 to 175, S. No. 61/22.		
68	4 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 60 Kane. T: Francisco Joao Dias.	South: S. No. 209/1, 4, 210/3, 9, 2, 213/3, 5, 6, 7, 4, 150/3, 28 to 32, S. No. 149/1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 147/1 to 16, 146/7, 8, 214/1, 2, 85, 98/1 to 13, 75/2, 3, 74/1 to 12, 73/1, 2, 3, 69/1, 2, 8, 47, 68/1 to 13.		
68	5 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 60 Kane. T: Francisco Piedade Dias.	East : Village Paroda.		
68	6 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 50 Kane. T: Francisco Joao Dias.	West : Village Sao Jose-de-Areal.		
68	7 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 70 Kane. T: Francisco Piedade Dias.	Total: C: 32758		
68	8 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 120 Kane. T: Francisco Joao Dias.	Grand Total: A+B+C=39838		
68	9 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 125 Kane. T: Francisco Piedade Dias.	By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.		
68	10 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 120 Kane. T: Francisco Joao Dias.	<i>Pandharinath N. Naik</i> , Under Secretary (Rev-I).		
68	11 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 140 Kane. T: Francisco Piedade Dias.	Porvorim, 26th April, 2011.		
68	12 part	O: 1. Dwarkabai Mhalu Pai 220 Kane. T: Francisco Joao Dias.	◆◆◆		

Department of Social Welfare

Directorate of Social Welfare

Notification

No. 82-6-07-08-SDB/791

The Government of Goa is pleased to constitute a "Goa State Traditional Occupations Accreditation Council" (GSTOAC) which will identify the beneficiaries of Traditional Businesses and Traditional Occupations, scrutinize/screen, accept or reject applications for receiving benefits under

any scheme for their benefits to be formulated by the Government.

Traditional Businesses(TB)/Traditional Occupations(TO) of Goa are defined as all those market and employment oriented economically active and employment oriented businesses and occupations of resident Goans mostly in informal and largely unorganized sector of Goa's economy which have proven operational/production/marketing history before liberation of Goa (1961) as testified by the local people/knowledgeable local experts and where available, supported by published documents/product samples/ethnographic/museum displays and which do not exclude rejuvenated/revived TB and TO at any point of time after liberation.

The Council shall constitute as under:

Non-Official Members		
1.	Dr. Harishchandra Nagvenkar, Retd. Vice-Principal, MES College, 10, Murmul, Old Market, Margao	Chairman.
2.	Dr. Bernadette Maria Gomes, Nr. London Hotel, Miramar, Panaji	Vice- -Chairman.
3.	Dr. Nandkumar Kamat, Asstt. Professor, Goa University, Goa University, Taleigao-Goa	Member.
4.	Prof. Ms. Vijayanti Prabhugaonkar, Velwada, Poinguinim, Canacona	Member. Member.
5.	Dr. Uday Gaonkar, Holy Cross High School, Quepem.	Member.
6.	Shri Laxman Kavalekar, Bogmalo Chikhalim, Mormugao-Goa	
7.	Shri Luis Alex Cardozo, Ex-Minister & MLA, Fatorda Constituency, Margao, Salcete	Member.
8.	Shri P. A. Suryavanshi, H. No. 990, Bandhawal Wada, Adarsh Nagar, Pernem	Member.
9.	Shri Mohan Verenkar, Ex-Sarpanch, Savoi-verem, Madhalawada, Savoi-verem-Ponda	Member.

10.	Shri Vijaykumar C. Verenkar, Progress High School, Sanquelim	Member.
11.	Mrs. Pornima Kerkar, Gonteli No. 1, Keri, Satari	Member.
12.	Shri Zilu Gaonkar, Housing Board Colony, Sanquelim-Goa	Member.
13.	Prof. Ramrao Wagh, Asso. Professor, Dept. of MCA, Goa University, Taleigao-Goa	Member.
14.	Fr. Valerian Vaz, Director, Caritas Goa, Near Hotel Mandovi, Panaji-Goa	Member.
15.	Shri Mahabaleshwar Sail, A-F-2, Niltara Appartment, Behind RTO Office, Arlem Rai-Salcete-Goa	Member.
16.	Shri Dadu Mandrekar, Dalit Activist, Amarapali Madhala Maj, Mandrem-Pernem	Member.

Official Members

17.	The Director, Directorate of Art and Culture, Patto, Panaji	Member.
18.	The Member Secretary, Kala Academy, Campal-Panaji	Member.
19.	The Managing Director, Goa Handicraft Rural and Small Scale Industries Development Corporation, Neugi Nagar, Mala, Panaji	Member.
20.	The Director, Directorate of Industries, Trade & Commerce, Panaji-Goa	Member.
21.	The Director, State Directorate of Craftsmen Training, Panaji-Goa	Member.
22.	The Chief Executive Officer, Khadi and Village Industries Board, Junta House, Panaji-Goa	Member.
23.	The Director, Directorate of Tribal Welfare, Panaji-Goa	Member.
24.	The Director, Directorate of Agriculture, Tonca-Caranzalem, Panaji	Member.

25. The Director, Directorate of Panchayats, Panaji-Goa	Member.
26. The Director, Directorate of Education, Panaji-Goa	Member.
27. The Director, Directorate of Social Welfare, Panaji-Goa	Member Secretary.

The period of the Council is three years from the date of its Notification.

By order and in the name of Governor of Goa.

N. B. Narvekar, Director of Social Welfare & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 2nd May, 2011.



Department of Water Resources

Office of the Chief Engineer

—

Order

No. 1-4-81/CE-WR/Adm.II/61

Read: This office Order No. 1-4-81/CE-WR/Adm.II/356 dated 28-6-2010.

Government approval is hereby conveyed for extension of the contract appointment of Shri Arvind S. Salelkar, Ex-Superintending Engineer, Water Resources Department against the post of Superintending Engineer, Circle Office I, Water Resources Department, Panaji, vide this office order cited above, for one more year viz. 1-5-2011 to 30-04-2012 against the same post of Superintending Engineer, Circle Office I, Water Resources Department, Panaji in public interest.

Shri Arvind S. Salelkar, shall draw emoluments, as per the terms and conditions mentioned in Government O.M. No. 10/1/77-PER dated 27-11-2006, issued by the Department of Personnel, Secretariat, Porvorim.

The extension of contract appointment of Shri A. S. Salelkar, as Superintending Engineer, is subject to the executing an agreement specifying the terms and conditions of his extension of contract appointment.

This order is issued in terms of Government instructions contained in O.M. No. 10/1/77-Per (Part) dated 20-04-2006, as conveyed by the Personnel Department, Secretariat, Porvorim, vide U.O. No. JS(Per)/402/8118 dated 14-03-2011 and vide U.O. No. Pr Secy(WR)/115 dated 18-03-2011.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. T. Nadkarni, Chief Engineer (WR) and ex officio Additional Secretary.

Panaji, 27th April, 2011.

Provided that the Government has the right to add/drop any member from the Council without assigning any reasons.

The terms & conditions for the functioning of the Council shall be as follows:-

1. The Council shall conduct a survey and create a computerized data base of the Traditional Business and Traditional Occupations in Goa and fix their categories to qualify for the benefits. The Council shall also do the final RAINBOW (seven colors) coding of all the TBs and TOs of Goa as per the Annexure "A".
2. The Council shall frame the rules for its' functioning after first meeting and also prepare the standard application format and open a web portal.
3. The Council shall meet as per the workload to process applications but at least once in a month and more frequently as required.
4. In absence of Chairman, the Vice Chairman shall preside over the proceedings of the Council.
5. In absence of both Chairman and Vice-Chairman a Senior member to be decided by members present shall preside over the proceedings.
6. All non-Official members shall be entitled to receive sitting fees as per the rules existing in the force.
7. Chairman and Vice-Chairman shall be paid a fixed yearly honorarium to be decided by the Government commensurate with their qualifications and experience.
8. The Council in its's first meeting would nominate Taluka level representative (one for each Taluka) who shall be permanent invitees on the Council and shall be called for the meeting if required.
9. The Council shall identify grievances redressal mechanism to receive and act on complaints from the applicants/beneficiaries.

Department of Printing & Stationery

Government Printing Press

Order

No. 9/138/91/Vol. I/GPS/241

The Government is pleased to notify various rates for undertaking work of Government Departments and Government Undertakings as per the details given below:

Sr. No.	Type of job	Other details	Existing rate	Revised rate
1.	Wiro Binding (Rate per 6 inches)	—	—	Rs. 6/- per 6 inches
2.	Copier Printing (Rate per 1000 Nos.)	Cost of Master	—	Rs. 50/-
	= Cost of Master (Rs. 50/-)	Cost of Printing per 1000 pages per Master used	—	Rs. 150/-
3.	Colour Printing on Digital Printer	Upto 5% coverage per A4 size page	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 5/-
		Above 5% coverage per A4 size page	Rs. 6/-	Rs. 10/-
4.	Four Colour Printing on Offset Printing Machine	Normal Jobs	Double the cost of paper	Cost of paper + 100% service charge
		Urgent Jobs	—	Extra 25% on total bill amount
5.	Screen Printing (Rate per 100 Nos.)		Rs. 2/- per page	
	= Cost of Screen (Rs. 50/-)	Item	—	Rate per 100 Prints
	+ Cost of Printing per 100	Visiting Cards	—	Rs. 80/-
		Envelopes	—	Rs. 80/-
		Invitation Cards	—	Rs. 100/-
		Letterheads	—	Rs. 100/-
		Files/Signboards/Badges	—	Rs. 150/-
		Handbills/Flyers	—	Rs. 150/-

The above rates come into force with immediate effect and supersede all earlier orders issued in this respect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

N. D. Agrawal, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (Ptg. & Stat.).

Panaji, 6th May, 2011.

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 30.00